Fourth axis: Subjects of political science

1- The state

State, political organization of society, or the body politic, or, more narrowly, the institutions of government. The state is a form of human association distinguished from other social groups by its purpose, the establishment of order and security; its methods, the laws and their enforcement; its territory, the area of jurisdiction or geographic boundaries; and finally by its sovereignty.

State is the highest form of human association. It is necessary because it comes into existence out of basic needs of life.

✓ Difference between state and society :

- Society is a broder term compared to the state
- State has territorial reference, society does not.
- State is soveriengn, society is not.
- State can not exist without a government, society may not be politically organized.
- State and spciety differ in purpose.

✓ Difference between state and government :

- State consists of population, territory, government and sovereinty, so government is a part of the state,
- State possesses original powers, powers of the government are derived from the state.
- State is permanent and continues forever, government is temporary it may come and go.
- State is abstract and invisible, government is concrete and vivible.

According to one definition, a state is a community formed by people and exercising permanent power within a specified territory. The state as a person of international law should possess the following qualifications:

- **1. Permanent population :** People is the mass of the population living within te satate.
- **2. Defined territory :** Territory demarcated area that rightly belongs to the polpulation, it should be permanent and large enough to be self-sufficing.
- **3. Government**: Government is an institution whose existence precedes that of the state. A government is a person or group of persons who rule or administer (or govern) a political community or a state
- **4. sovereignty**: the best way to describe the state is as a sovereign power, because sovereinty as the supreme power of the state to command and enforce obedience to its will from the people whithin its jurisdiction and corollary to have freedom from foreign control.

✓ Theories of State Origin

Various attempts have been made to explain in a speculative manner the method by which the state came into existence. These theories were concerned, not primarily with the actual historical process of state origin, but rather with a rational explanation of the way in which the state may have been supposed to originate. These theories were put forward for the purpose of explaining and justifying the existence and authority of the state. They were attempts to give rational answers to the questions of why men lived in political organization, of why they should submit to political authority, and of what limits should be placed to such authority. Among the most important of such theories were the following:

- **The theory of force**: the state came into existence as a result of the forced subjection of the weak to the strong. One group of thinkers used this theory to justify the state on the ground that the state is power, that might makes right and

that the essence of the state is a sovereign will. Another group of thinkers used this theory to attack the state because of its injustice and to urge individual freedom and limited state action.

- The natural theory: The natural theory viewed man as a political animal, and the state as a natural result of the instinct of sociability. It justified the state as a necessity determined by the very nature of man. It was not the creation of man but an inevitable and natural result of human nature. Accordingly, man could have no existence outside the state. His interests and those of the state were identical, and the state needed no further justification. A modification of this theory viewed the state as arising to meet the essential needs of man, and justified it on the grounds of its usefulness. The purpose of the state was to promote general welfare, and it was justified in taking any action that would be conducive to justice and the general good.
- **Divine right theory**: holds that state is of divine creation and the ruler is ordained by God to govern the people. The state was viewed as of direct divine creation, and its government was theocratic in nature. In the early Oriental empires rulers claimed a divine right to control the affairs of their subjects and this light was seldom questioned.
- **Social contract theory:** asserts that the early states must have been formed by deliberate and voluntary compact among people to form a government of their own. For all the teories, this is the importana theory.

The social contract theory starts with the assumption that man lived originally in a "state of nature," antecedent to the formation of political organization. In this condition he was subject only to such rules of natural law as are prescribed by nature itself, and was the possessor of natural rights. This primitive condition he was compelled to abandon, either, as some held, because it was too idyllic to last, or, as others held, because it was too inconvenient or terrible to be tolerated. In its plant: men deliberately formed an agreement, or contract, by which they set up a body politic.

Submitting to the control of all, they received in return the protection of all, thus losing their natural liberty but receiving in return Security. Human law replaced natural law, and each individual became the possessor of political rights and obligations. The Mate was thus of deliberate human creation, and authority Wag derived from the consent of the people.

✓ Functions of state

- Defensive function
- Administrative function
- To provide social security
- Economic functions
- Social functions

2- Authority

✓ Definition of authority

- Weber defines authority as legitimate forms of domination, that is, forms of domination which followers or subordinates consider to be legitimate. Legitimate does not necessarily imply any sense of rationality, right, or natural justice. Rather, domination is legitimate when the subordinate accept, obey, and consider domination to be desirable, or at least bearable and not worth challenging. It is not so much the actions of the dominant that create this, but rather the willingness of those who subordinate to believe in the legitimacy of the claims of the dominant.
 - Power to influence or command thought, opinion, or behavior.
- The power to give orders or make decisions : the power or right to direct or control someone or Something.

✓ classification of authority

The German sociologist Max Weber (1864-1920) distinguished three ideal types of legitimate political leadership/domination/authority. He wrote about these three types of domination both in his essay "The Three Types of

Legitimate Rule", which was published in his 1921 masterwork Economy and Society.

Weber outline three major types of legitimate domination: traditional, charismatic, and legal or rational. These three forms do not constitute the totality of types of domination but they show how it is possible for some people to exercise power over others. Authority extends and maintains power and shows a study of its origins can show how people come to accept this domination as a regular and structured phenomenon. Also note that these are ideal types, with any actual use of power being likely to have aspects of more than one type of authority, and perhaps even other forms of power such as the use of force or coercion.

1. Traditional Authority

According to Weber, the power of traditional authority is accepted because that has traditionally been the case; its legitimacy exists because it has been accepted for a long time. Britain's Queen Elizabeth, for instance, occupies a position that she inherited based on the traditional rules of succession for the monarchy. People adhere to traditional authority because they are invested in the past and feel obligated to perpetuate it. In this type of authority, a ruler typically has no real force to carry out their will, and their position depends primarily on a group's respect.

2. Charismatic Authority

Followers accept the power of charismatic authority because they are drawn to the leader's personal qualities. The appeal of a charismatic leader can be extraordinary, and can inspire followers to make unusual sacrifices or to persevere in the midst of great hardship and persecution. Charismatic leaders usually emerge in times of crisis and offer innovative or radical solutions. They may even offer a vision of a new world order.

3. Rational-Legal Authority

According to Weber, power made legitimate by laws, written rules, and regulations is termed rational-legal authority. In this type of authority, power is vested in a particular rationale, system, or ideology and not necessarily in the person who implements the specifics of that doctrine. With rational-legal authority, the power to influence does not fall on individuals themselves, but instead falls on specific, structured, bureaucratic offices, and individuals holding specific positions have the authority to act in the name of such positions. A nation that follows a constitution applies this type of authority.

3- power and capability

Weber defined power as the chance that an individual in a social relationship can achieve his or her own will even against the resistance of others. This is a very broad definition and includes a very wide range of types of power.

In international relations, power is defined in several different ways. Material definitions of state power emphasize economic and military power. Other definitions of power emphasize the ability to structure and constitute the nature of social relations between actors. Power is an attribute of particular actors in their interactions, as well as a social process that constitutes the social identities and capacities of actors.

Political scientists, historians, and diplomats have used the following concepts of political power:

- Power as a **goal** of states or leaders;
- Power as a measure of **influence** or control over outcomes, events, actors and issues;
- Power as victory in conflict and the attainment of **security**;
- Power as control over resources and **capabilities**;
- Power as **status**, which some states or actors possess and others do not.

4- Political decision

Political decision means a decision made by an elected official or elected body of a policy nature and which has general application and for which the accountability is to the electorate.

Political Decision Making refers to the process of making choices and selecting courses of action by individuals in positions of authority, based on scientific data and information.